



## Effect of sowing dates and cultivars on the incidence of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Nagaland, India

**B. Longkumer, Pankaj Neog, Waluniba and H.S. Devi**

*Department of Entomology, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Nagaland University, Medziphema campus 797106, Nagaland, India.  
Email: asenjamir780@gmail.com*

**ABSTRACT:** The Effect of sowing dates and cultivars on the incidence of fall army worm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) and yield attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.) was carried out with five cultivars namely, Zarsi (local), Siphon (local), Ronimi (local), Khoi (local) and HQPM-1 (composite) and three different sowing dates (6<sup>th</sup> March, 21<sup>st</sup> March and 5<sup>th</sup> April). Among the different dates of sowing, 6<sup>th</sup> March recorded maximum pest incidence, while 5<sup>th</sup> April recorded the least on maize. The interaction between sowing dates and cultivars showed significant effect on the incidence of army worm at different days after sowing. The maize sown on 21<sup>st</sup> March, recorded the highest grain yield (4.12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). It can be suggested that manipulating the sowing date and growing of tolerant variety of maize such as HQPM1 can be an effective measure to manage exotic army worm infestation. Mid sowing of maize (21<sup>st</sup> March) and growing of local cultivars such as Siphon observed significantly better yield attributes which will ensure higher economic returns to the farmers.

© 2023 Association for Advancement of Entomology

**KEY WORDS:** Exotic army worm, months, pest incidence, grain yield

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is an important cereal crop belonging to the family Gramineae. It is also called as the 'Queen of Cereals' which is the most widely cultivated cereal crop in India. It is a staple and an important source of carbohydrate in human diet and also serves as a source of animal feed (Onasanya *et al.*, 2009), an important source of industrial and pharmaceutical production in the country (Olaniyan, 2015). The yield of maize is greatly affected by many insect pests. Out of 140 species of insect pests (army worm, stem borer, thrips, aphids,

termites, white grub, seed corn maggots, root worms, Indian meal moth, grain borer and grain weevil during storage), only 12 species are the serious pests of maize causing damage from sowing to the harvesting and also in the storage conditions (Siddiqui and Marwaha, 1993). Exotic army worm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), infests maize crop from emergence to tasseling, silking and cob formation stage. The caterpillars feed on leaves and stems of more than 80 plant species.

\* Author for correspondence

Dates of planting significantly influence the growth, development and yield due to climate changes that occur during the cropping season (Dahmardeh, 2012). Manipulation of sowing dates of crops is an important cultural practice to avoid the peak infestation of insect pests on the crop. In this context, the information pertaining to dates of sowing (DOS) on the incidence of major pest infestation in maize and also in yield has been lacking in the regions of Nagaland.

A field experiment was carried out in the experimental farm of SASRD, Nagaland University, Medziphema campus, Nagaland during March to July, 2019 located at 25° 45' 45" N; 93°

53' 04" E, at an altitude of 304.8m above mean sea level, in the foot hills of Nagaland. The experimental site falls under sub-tropical with high humidity and moderate annual temperature range (21-32°C), having average annual rainfall (2000 - 3000mm) and RH (70-80%). The soil is sandy loam in texture, acidic in nature with pH ranging from 4.5-6.5. The treatments are three dates of sowing [6<sup>th</sup> March (D<sub>1</sub>), 21<sup>st</sup> March (D<sub>2</sub>) and 5<sup>th</sup> April (D<sub>3</sub>)] and five cultivars [Zarsi (C<sub>1</sub>), Siphon (C<sub>2</sub>), Ronimi (C<sub>3</sub>), Khoi (C<sub>4</sub>) and HQPM-1(C<sub>5</sub>)]. The experiment was carried out in Split Plot Design with three replications, keeping planting dates in the main plot and cultivar in the sub plots. The main plot was

Table 1. Effect of different sowing dates and cultivars on leaf infestation by *Spodoptera frugiperda* on maize

Treatments	Infestation (%)		
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
Sowing dates			
D <sub>1</sub> : 6 <sup>th</sup> March	16.33(23.65)	21.87(27.73)	26.00(30.55)
D <sub>2</sub> : 21 <sup>st</sup> March	13.80(21.43)	18.00(24.82)	22.67(28.21)
D <sub>3</sub> : 5 <sup>th</sup> April	10.53(18.58)	14.47(22.07)	18.67(25.37)
SEm±	0.27	0.32	0.36
CD (p=0.05)	1.04	1.26	1.43
Cultivars			
C <sub>1</sub> : Zarsi	15.56(23.06)	20.78(27.00)	25.56(30.28)
C <sub>2</sub> : Siphon	10.78(18.99)	14.89(22.49)	18.89(25.59)
C <sub>3</sub> : Ronimi	20.56(26.93)	25.78(30.47)	30.00(33.18)
C <sub>4</sub> : Khoi	13.33(21.34)	17.78(24.90)	22.22(28.09)
C <sub>5</sub> : HQPM1	7.56(15.79)	11.33(19.51)	15.56(23.08)
SEm±	0.43	0.50	0.69
CD (p=0.05)	1.25	1.47	2.02

**Note:** Figures in the table are mean values and those in parentheses are angular transformed values

divided into 5 sub-plots to accommodate five cultivars. Recommended agronomic package of practices were followed for the crop cultivation. The seeds were sown with a spacing of 60 X 25cm in a 4.2 X 1m plot size maintaining a population of 24 plants per plot. At random, ten plants were tagged in each plot to observe incidence of *S. frugiperda* at 30, 45, and 60 days after sowing (DAS). The crop was subjected to natural infestation and infestation was calculated (No. of infested plant/ Total no. of plants × 100). The yield attributes were recorded and the cob yield and grain yield were converted in t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The data obtained were then subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). F test was used to determine the significance of difference between the two means and in case F-test was significant, the critical difference (CD) was calculated for comparison.

#### Effect of sowing dates and cultivars on leaf infestation by exotic army worm on maize:

DOS showed significant effect on infestation by army worm. At 30 DAS, the highest infestation (16.33%) was observed in 1<sup>st</sup> DOS, while it was the lowest (10.53%) in 3<sup>rd</sup> DOS. At 45 DAS the infestation was maximum in 1<sup>st</sup> DOS (21.87%), followed by 2<sup>nd</sup> DOS (18.00%) and 3<sup>rd</sup> DOS (14.47%). Similar trend was observed at 60 DAS, where the highest infestation (26.00%) was noted at 1<sup>st</sup> DOS followed by 2<sup>nd</sup> DOS (22.67%) and the 3<sup>rd</sup> DOS recorded the lowest infestation (18.67%) (Table 1).

The interaction between sowing dates and cultivars showed significant effect on the incidence of army worm. At 30 DAS, 'Ronimi' sown on the 1<sup>st</sup> DOS recorded highest infestation (23.00%) and the lowest (6.00%) on the variety HQPM-1 sown on the 2<sup>nd</sup> DOS. At 45 DAS also Ronimi recorded, the highest percentage (29.33) sown on the 1<sup>st</sup> DOS and the lowest (9.00) HQPM-1 which was sown on 3<sup>rd</sup> DOS (Table 1). At 60 DAS the interaction between 1<sup>st</sup> DOS interacting with Ronimi showed the highest infestation and the lowest infestation was observed on the interaction of D<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub> (13.33%) and D<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub> (13.33%) with the variety HQPM-1 (Table 2).

Table 2. Interaction effect of different sowing dates and cultivars on infestation by *Spodoptera frugiperda* on maize

Dates x Cultivars	Leaf infestation (%)		
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	18.67 (25.60)	25.33 (30.18)	30.00 (33.21)
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	14.33 (22.23)	19.67 (26.30)	23.33 (28.88)
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	23.00 (28.65)	29.33 (32.79)	33.33 (35.25)
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	15.33 (23.04)	19.33 (26.05)	23.33 (28.86)
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	10.33 (18.75)	15.67 (23.30)	20.00 (26.57)
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	17.67 (24.85)	21.33 (27.51)	26.67 (31.07)
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	10.67 (19.06)	15.33 (23.05)	20.00 (26.57)
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	20.33 (26.79)	25.67 (30.44)	30.00 (33.21)
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	14.00 (21.94)	18.33 (25.34)	23.33 (28.86)
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	6.33 (14.53)	9.33 (17.78)	13.33 (21.34)
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	10.33 (18.73)	15.67 (23.30)	20.00 (26.57)
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	7.33 (15.68)	9.67 (18.11)	13.33 (21.34)
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	18.33 (25.34)	22.33 (28.19)	26.67 (31.07)
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	10.67 (19.06)	15.67 (23.31)	20.00 (26.57)
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	6.00 (14.09)	9.00 (17.44)	13.33 (21.34)
SEm±	0.74	0.87	1.20
CD (p=0.05)	2.17	2.55	3.50

**Note:** Figures in the table are mean values and those in parentheses are angular transformed values

Table 3. Effect of different sowing dates and cultivars on yield attributes of maize

Treatments	No. of cobs plant <sup>-1</sup>	Cob length (cm)	Cob diameter (cm)	Fresh cob weight (g cob <sup>-1</sup> )	Cob yieldt ha <sup>-1</sup>	Grain yieldt ha <sup>-1</sup>
Sowing dates						
D <sub>1</sub> : 6 <sup>th</sup> March	1.48	12.00	4.41	176.49	4.17	3.13
D <sub>2</sub> : 21 <sup>st</sup> March	1.61	14.20	4.64	214.39	5.49	4.12
D <sub>3</sub> : 5 <sup>th</sup> April	1.55	13.53	4.49	194.84	4.79	3.59
Sem±	0.001	0.12	0.01	1.51	0.04	0.03
CD (p=0.05)	0.004	0.48	0.03	5.94	0.15	0.11
Cultivars						
C <sub>1</sub> : Zarsi	1.45	13.44	4.52	194.45	4.49	3.37
C <sub>2</sub> : Sipho	1.62	14.44	4.74	214.04	5.50	4.13
C <sub>3</sub> : Ronimi	1.38	12.56	4.32	188.29	4.15	3.11
C <sub>4</sub> : Khoi	1.55	12.00	4.26	180.00	4.45	3.34
C <sub>5</sub> : HQPM1	1.73	13.78	4.72	199.42	5.49	4.12
SEm±	0.002	0.13	0.01	1.73	0.04	0.03
CD (p=0.05)	0.005	0.37	0.04	5.05	0.11	0.09

The present finding indicates that late sowing performed better in regards to infestation by exotic army worm than early sowing. HQPM-1 was the most tolerant among the cultivars which might be due to the difference in morphological character like compactness of leaf tissue, hard and tough stem and genetic variability which render the variety 'HQPM - 1' cultivar to escape the attack.

#### Effect of sowing dates and cultivars on yield attributes of maize:

The results obtained revealed that maize sown on D<sub>2</sub> (21<sup>st</sup> March) significantly recorded the highest number of cob per plant (1.61), cob length (14.20 cm), cob diameter (4.64 cm), fresh cob weight (214.39g cob<sup>-1</sup>), cob yield (5.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield (4.12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Early sowing D<sub>1</sub> (6<sup>th</sup> March) recorded lesser yield. Among the different cultivars, HQPM1 (C<sub>5</sub>) recorded the highest number of cob per plant (1.73) while the least was observed in Ronimi (1.38). The cultivar Sipho (C<sub>2</sub>) recorded

significantly higher cob length (14.44cm), cob diameter (4.74cm), fresh cob weight (214.04g cob<sup>-1</sup>), cob yield (5.50 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield (4.13 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to other cultivars (Table 3). The results showed significant interaction effect between sowing dates and cultivars on yield attributes (Table 4). The interaction between D<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub> (DOS 21<sup>st</sup> March and cultivar HQPM-1) recorded the highest number of cobs per plant (1.80) and cob diameter (4.90cm). The highest cob length (15.33cm), fresh cob weight (234.40 g cob<sup>-1</sup>), cob yield (6.23 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield (4.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the interaction between DOS 21<sup>st</sup> March and cultivar Sipho. It was observed that the late sown 2<sup>nd</sup> DOS crop (21st march) proved the best one as it gave the highest grain yield of 4.12 t ha<sup>-1</sup> than that sown early on 1st DOS (March). The findings of Chaudhary and Sharma (1992) are also in accordance with the present studies.

From the results obtained in the present study, it can be concluded that late sowing of maize (5<sup>th</sup>

Table 4. Interaction effect of different sowing dates and cultivars on yield attributes of maize

DOS x Cultivars	Cobs plant <sup>-1</sup>	Cob length (cm)	Cob diameter (cm)	Fresh cob weight (g cob <sup>-1</sup> )	Cob yield t ha <sup>-1</sup>	Grain yield t ha <sup>-1</sup>
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	1.38	12.33	4.40	176.27	3.87	2.90
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	1.56	13.67	4.63	200.38	4.95	3.71
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.32	11.00	4.23	167.22	3.51	2.63
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	1.48	10.33	4.20	158.47	3.75	2.81
D <sub>1</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	1.66	12.67	4.57	180.10	4.75	3.57
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	1.52	14.33	4.67	212.88	5.12	3.84
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	1.67	15.33	4.85	234.40	6.23	4.67
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.45	13.67	4.43	204.72	4.71	3.53
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	1.62	13.00	4.37	204.53	5.25	3.94
D <sub>2</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	1.80	14.67	4.90	215.40	6.15	4.62
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	1.45	13.67	4.50	194.20	4.47	3.35
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	1.62	14.33	4.75	207.35	5.33	4.00
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.38	13.00	4.30	192.92	4.23	3.17
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	1.55	12.67	4.20	177.00	4.35	3.27
D <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	1.73	14.00	4.70	202.75	5.57	4.18
CD (p=0.05)	0.009	0.63	0.07	8.75	0.20	0.15

April) and HQPM1 variety recorded significantly lower incidence of fall army worm at all stages of crop growth compared to early sowing. Therefore, it can be suggested that manipulating the sowing date and growing of tolerant variety of maize as HQPM1 can be an effective measure to manage exotic army worm infestation. Mid sowing of maize (21<sup>st</sup> March) and growing of local cultivars such as Siphon observed significantly better yield attributes which will ensure higher economic returns to the farmers.

## REFERENCES

Aderibigbe S.G. (2017) Performance of maize (*Zea mays*) cultivars as influenced by grade and application

rate of organo-mineral fertilizer in a transitory rain forest. *Agrosearch* 17 (2): 78–98.

- Amudalat B.O. (2015) Maize: Panacea for hunger in Nigeria. *African Journal of Plant science* 9(3): 155–174.
- Anjorin F.B. (2014) Comparison of Growth and Yield Components of Five Quality Protein Maize Varieties. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 4(1): 1–5.
- Arif S.K., Khan M.T.M., Shawani I. and Tareen A.B. (2003) Studies on varietal screening of maize against maize stem borer, *C. partellus* (Swinhoe). *Journal of Biological Sciences* 3(2): 233–236.
- Babu S.R., Kalyan R.K., Joshi S., Balai C.M., Mahla M.K. and Rokadia P. (2019) Report of an exotic invasive pest the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on maize in southern Rajasthan. *Journal of Entomology and Zoological Studies* 7(3): 1296–1300.
- Bajija M.R., Srivastava C.P. and Samota R.G. (2012). Screening of maize genotypes against maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* L. *Trends in Biosciences* 10 (13): 2386–2390.
- Begam A. (2018) Grain Yield of *Kharif* Maize Hybrid (*Zea mays* L.) as Influenced by Doses and Split Application of Nitrogen. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 7(7): 2121–2129.
- Bhatt K.R. (2020) Yield Performance of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Under Different Combinations of Organic and Inorganic Nutrient Management During Spring at Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal. *Acta Scientific Agriculture* 4(1): 120–127.
- Biradar S.R., Kotikal Y.K., Balikai R.A. and Biradar D.P. (2011) Comparative performance of maize hybrids against major insect pests. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* 24(4): 540–541.
- Chavan B.P., Khot R.B. and Harter P.N. (2007) Reaction of maize germplasm to maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe. *Journal of Entomological Research* 31(3): 187–190.
- Chaudhary R.N. and Sharma V.K. (1990) Incidence and abundance of maize stalk borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in Kharif season. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Research* 26(1): 25–29
- Davis F.M., Williams W.P., Chang Y.M., Bake G.T. and Hedin P.A. (1999) Differential growth of fall army worm larvae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) reared on three phenotypic regions of whorl leaves from a



- resistant and susceptible maize hybrid. Florida Entomologist 82(2): 248–254.
- Georg G, Lava K.P., Sagnia B.S. and Abou T. (2016) First report of outbreaks of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a new alien invasive pest in west and central Africa. National Institutes of Health (Gov). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- Govind P., Mehar C., Pawan K. and Ran S.R. (2017) Performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids with respect to growth parameters and phenological stages under different sowing dates in kharif season. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 6(10): 5079–5087.
- Gustavo R.B., Anderson D.G., Mauro S.G., Fabrizio P.G., Moises J. and Zotti G.J.S. (2005) Compared biology of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations in corn and rice leaves. Neotropical Entomology 34(5): 743–750.
- Kumar H. and Mihm J.A. (1995) Antibiosis and tolerance to fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith), south western corn borer, *Diatraea grandiosella* (Dyar) and sugarcane borer, *Diatraea saccharalis* (Fabricius) in selected maize hybrids. Maydica 40(3): 245–251.
- Leela R. and Srivastav C.P. (2013) Screening of maize genotypes against stem borer *Chilo partellus* L. in Kharif season. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology 4(4): 394–403.
- Marcenco R.J., Foster R.E. and Sanchez C.A. (1992) Sweet corn response to fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) damage during vegetative growth. Journal of Economic Entomology 85(4): 1285–1292.
- Meena A.K., Chhangani G., Kumar, Swaminathan R. (2019) Incidence of the fall army worm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) at Udaipur in Maize. Indian Journal of Entomology 81(2): 251–254.
- Mehdi D. (2012) Effects of sowing date on the growth and yield of maize cultivars (*Zea mays* L.) and the growth temperature requirements. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(61): 12450–12453.
- Moshood A.Y., Abdulraheem M.I. and Charles E.F. (2018) Evaluation of Four Maize Varieties for Optimum Growth and Yield under Field Condition. Advances in agricultural technology and plant sciences 1(1): 1–5.
- Muhammad A., Zahid N., Muhammad H.B. and Bilal S.K. (2009) Analysis of host plant resistance in some genotypes of maize against *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe). Pakistan Journal of Botany 41(1): 421–428.
- Munyiri S.W., Mugo S.M., Otim M., Terefa T., Beyene Y., Myolo J.K. and Okori P. (2013) Responses of tropical maize landraces to damage by *Chilo partellus* stem borer. African Journal of Biotechnology 12(11): 1229–1235.
- Oladotun O., Olaiya P.A., Onasanya A.S.O. and Oikeh (2009) Growth and Yield Response of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) to Different Rates of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizers in Southern Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 5 (4): 400–407.
- Patel J.R. and Patel I.S. (2012) Reaction of certain genotypes of maize against stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in North Gujarat, Trends in Biosciences 5(2): 157–159.
- Siddiqui K.H. and Marwaha K.K. (1993) The Vistas of Maize Entomology in India. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, Punjab, India. 184 pp.
- Tahir M. (2008) Comparative Yield Performance of Different Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Hybrids under Local Conditions of Faisalabad-Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences 6(2): 118–120.
- Williams W.P., Davis F.M., Overman J.L. and Buckley P.M. (1999) Enhancing inherent fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistance of corn with *Bacillus thuringiensis* genes. Florida Entomologist 82(2): 271–277.